PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

10/583



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 58086-223938	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/US2004/042221	International filing date (day/month/year) 16 December 2004 (16.12.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 19 December 2003 (19.12.2003)
International Patent Classification (8th See relevant information in Form F	n edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237	
Applicant THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVER	SITY OF CALIFORNIA	

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).		
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.		
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.		
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:		
	Box No. I Basis of the report		
	Box No. II Priority		
	Box No. III	lo. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	
	Box No. VI	Box No. VI Certain documents cited	
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application		
	Box No. VIII	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application	
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).		
			Date of issuance of this report 20 June 2006 (20.06.2006)
	The International Bure		Authorized officer
	34, chemin des Co 1211 Geneva 20, So	ombettes witzerland	Simin Baharlou
1	Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35 Telephone No. +41 22 338 71 30		Telephone No. +41 22 338 71 30
Form	PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)		

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: DAVID J. OLDENKAMP SHAPIRO & DUPONT LLP 233 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 700 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY SANTA MONIA, CA 90401 (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) FOR FURTHER ACTION Applicant's or agent's file reference See paragraph 2 below Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 19 December 2003 (19.12.2003) 16 December 2004 (16.12.2004) PCT/US04/42221 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): C12Q 1/68; A01N 43/04; A61K 31/70 and US Cl.: 435/6; 514/1, 44 Applicant THE REGENTS OF THE UNVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Basis of the opinion Box No. I Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. V Certain documents cited Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the 2. FURTHER ACTION In a demand for international premining yexamination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Nancy T. Vogel Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Telephone No. (703) 308-0196 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.	
PCT/US04/42221	

Box No	o. 1 Basis of this opinion
1. With a	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it iled, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With inver	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed ation, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
а.	type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b.	
	in written format
l	in computer readable form
c.	
	contained in international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Ad	iditional comments:
[
-	

Form PCT/ISA/237(Box No. I) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

affecting the androgen mRNA levels (see abstract, claims, columns 1-2).

International application No. PCT/US04/42221

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
1. Statement		
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-9, 12-15, 17-19	YES
, , ,	Claims 10, 11	NO.
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 1-9, 12-15, 17-19	YES
,	Claims 10, 11	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-15, 17-19	YES
, ,	Claims NONE	NO.
2. Citations and explanations:		
Claims 10 and 11 lack novelty under PCT Article 33((2) as being anticipated by Roy et al. (US Patent 6,489,163). Roy et al. disc	ciose a

Claims 1-9, 12-15 and 17-19 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method of determining the physiological effect of a compound on prostate cancer cell, comprising determining whether a level of mRNA encoding the androgen receptor in a prostate cancer cell is at least two fold higher than the level of mRNA in a normal prostate mRNA encoding the androgen receptor in a prostate cancer cell is at least two fold higher than the level of mRNA in a normal prostate cell, contacting a compound to be tested with said prostate cancer cell having at least two fold higher androgen receptor mRNA than normal prostate cell, and examining the effect of the compound; a method of inhibiting the growth of hormone refractory prostate cancer cells wherein the androgen receptor protein level is decreased through modulation of signal transduction pathways such as targeting EGF receptors that crosstalk to the androgen receptor, or by induction of cellular degradation pathways; or by dissociating the androgen receptor from heat shock proteins; or by using androgen receptor antisense or inRNA knockdown technology; or by modifying the androgen receptor sequence or by posttranslational modifications; or a method of determining if a selected prostate cancer cell is hormone sensitive or refractory, comprising determining the level of mRNA in the cell that encodes androgen receptor polypeptide, and comparing it to said mRNA level in a hormone sensitive prostate cancer cell. and determining whether the selected prostate cancer cell comparing it to said mRNA level in a hormone sensitive prostate cancer cell, and determining whether the selected prostate cancer cell has at least two fold higher level of androgen receptor mRNA than the hormone sensitive cell.

Claims 1-15 and 17-19 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	PCT/US04/42221	
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application		
The following defects in the form or contents of the international app	lication have been noted:	
There is no claim 16.		

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. VII) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/42221

Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international applic	ation
--------------	--	-------

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the questions whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 5-9, 12-15 and 17 are objected to as lacking clarity under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) because of the claims not fully supported by the description. The description does not disclose the claimed invention in a manner sufficiently clear and complete for the claimed invention to be carried out by a person skilled in the art because: the specification does not provide adequate guidance in order to enable one to practice the claimed invention, since one would not know which mRNA level to measure in any particular mammalian cancer cell, other than prostate cancer cell, in order to carry out the method of claims 5-9; the disclosure does not provide guidance for how to affect androgen receptor protein level through modulation of signal transduction pathways, or by induction of cellular degradation pathways, or dissociation of the androgen receptor from heat shock proteins, or by antisense of knockdown technology, or by modification of the polynucleotide or polypeptide sequence of the androgen receptor, or by posttranslational modifications thereof.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. VIII) (January 2004)